



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,051	11/13/2001	Alan C. Thomas	28082.111	2800

7590 09/23/2003

Paul F. Wille
Durel Corporation
2225 West Chandler Boulevard
Chandler, AZ 85224

EXAMINER

GILMAN, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2833

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,051	THOMAS, ALAN C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Gilman	2833

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Z.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budd in view of Chandra et al.

With regard to claims 1, 3-5, 8,10 Budd (US 5,593,782) discloses a method for treating phosphor comprising steps of

providing a fluidized bed of phosphor;

vaporizing water in a carrier gas (2) to form a first gas (8);

vaporizing a second precursor in a carrier gas (2) to form a second gas (10);

passing the first gas and the second gas through the fluidized bed to form coating on the phosphor.

Suggesting a variety of materials for the second precursor (including silicon tetrachloride), Budd does not disclose an organic component for the second precursor.

Chandra et al (US 5,935,638) disclose organotrichlorosilane (col. 1, lines 11-16; col. 7, lines 47-50) as a precursor for CVD process in multiplayer coating (col. 7, lines 7-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide organotrichlorosilane as the second precursor, as taught by Chandra et al, to improve moisture resistance of the phosphor.

With regard to claim 2, Budd when modified by Chandra et al disclose (Chandra et al, col. 7, lines 35-39) step of passing the first gas and the second gas through the fluidized bed to form coating on the phosphor is continued about 30 minutes.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claim 9, Budd when modified by Chandra et al disclose (Budd) a process at ambient temperature (Abstract, lines 4-7).

2. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinedinst et al in view of Chandra et al.

Klinedinst et al (US 5,220,243) disclose a lamp comprising:

- a transparent electrode (75);
- a phosphor layer (76);
- dielectric layer (77);
- a rear electrode (78).

Klinedinst et al disclose just one moisture resistant coating which includes metal oxide coating and organic component and formed in a fluidized bed. However Klinedinst et al do not disclose two coatings with a precursor in form of organotrichlorosilane.

Chandra et al (US 5,935,638) disclose organotrichlorosilane (col. 1, lines 11-16; col. 7, lines 47-50) as a precursor for CVD process in multiplayer coating (col. 7, lines 7-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide organotrichlorosilane as the second precursor for the second layer in double coating, as taught by Chandra et al, to improve moisture resistance of the phosphor.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/02/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that tricholosilane recited in the secondary reference (Chandra et al) was utilized in radically different process for treating substantially different materials in comparison with the first reference (Budd)

However, the processes Chandra as well as Budd both are related to chemical vapor disposition (CVD) methods. Teaching a variety methods for coating (col. 1, lines 21-25), Chandra et al do not restrict

Art Unit: 2833

their invention to any specific CVD method. Chandra et al suggest using tricholosilane for all CVD methods.

Also, the phrase in Chandra et al "the coating can be used on substrates such as electronic devices" (col. 1, lines 8-9) does not restrict the area of its implementation to coating silicone wafers, as suggest Applicant in the Response. Chandra et al teach (col. 2, lines 48-49) « the silicone dioxide containing coating is used on electronic devices.

In addition, claim 1 does not claim an area of application of the coating claimed.

Okuhira et al as a secondary reference was dropped.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Gilman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Alexander Gilman

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alex Gilman".

September 20, 2003